



Volume 1-2 Technical Data Report Apalachee Region

Chapter III Regional Behavioral Analysis Summary

Prepared by

**APALACHEE REGIONAL
PLANNING COUNCIL**



This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

A. Background.....	III-1
B. Methodology	III-2
1. Survey Methodology	III-2
a. Storm Events.....	III-3
b. Regional Characteristics.....	III-4
2. Deriving Behavioral Assumptions	III-4
C. Key Findings for the Apalachee Region	III-5
1. Information and Awareness.....	III-5
2. Evacuation Intent.....	III-6
3. Evacuation Destination	III-7
4. Obstacles to Evacuation.....	III-9
5. Evacuation Scenarios.....	III-9
a. Storm Characteristics	III-9
(1). Storm Severity.....	III-9
(2). Landfalling, Paralleling and Exiting Storm Paths	III-10
(3). Evacuation Timing	III-11
b. Phased Evacuation	III-11
c. One-Way Evacuation.....	III-11
6. Evacuation Behavior for Other Hazards	III-12
a. Wildfire	III-12
b. Freshwater Flooding.....	III-13
c. Hazardous Materials Accidents	III-14
D. Use of Survey Findings.....	III-15
1. Intended Responses.....	III-16
2. Actual Responses.....	III-16
3. Past Response in Other Locations.....	III-16
4. Statistical Predictors.....	III-16
5. Combining Information.....	III-17
6. Sample Size Considerations	III-17
E. Planning Assumptions.....	III-18
1. Evacuation Rates	III-18
2. Out-of-County Trips	III-20
3. Type of Refuge.....	III-20
4. Percent of Available Vehicles.....	III-20
5. Evacuation Timing	III-20
a. Evidence of Past Evacuations.....	III-21
b. Response Curves for Planning.....	III-21
c. Variations in the Curves	III-22
d. Examples of Actual Response Curves	III-23
(1). Two-day Evacuations	III-23
(2). One-day Evacuations	III-23
F. Planning Assumptions for Vacationers	III-24
1. Evacuation Rates	III-24
2. Type of Refuge.....	III-24

3. Destinations III-24
 4. Vehicle Use III-24
 5. Evacuation Timing III-25
 G. Planning Assumption Tables III-25

List of Tables

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
III-1	Sample Sizes for Counties in the Apalachee Region.....	III-3
III-2	Awareness of Evacuation Zones	III-5
III-3	Percentages of Evacuating Households and Destinations	III-6
III-4	Shadow Evacuations	III-7
III-5	Households in Need of Assistance to Evacuate.....	III-9

List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
III-1	Evacuation Destinations by Type	III-8
III-2	Evacuation Destinations by Location	III-8
III-3	Response Curves for Evacuation Planning	III-22

Appendices

Appendix IIIA	Planning Assumptions for Calhoun County
Appendix IIIB	Planning Assumptions for Franklin County
Appendix IIIC	Planning Assumptions for Gadsden County
Appendix IIID	Planning Assumptions for Gulf County
Appendix IIIE	Planning Assumptions for Jackson County
Appendix IIIF	Planning Assumptions for Jefferson County
Appendix IIIG	Planning Assumptions for Leon County
Appendix IIIH	Planning Assumptions for Liberty County
Appendix IIII	Planning Assumptions for Wakulla County

CHAPTER III

REGIONAL BEHAVIORAL ANALYSIS SUMMARY

A. Background

For planners and emergency managers, one of the most elusive components of evacuation planning is anticipation of the behavior of our population. The behavioral analysis is one of the most important tasks in preparing hurricane evacuation plans. It includes the development of the necessary assumptions regarding the manner in which evacuees in and around the threatened area will react to the hurricane threat. Behavioral assumptions based on professional analysis of survey results are the final output of the behavioral component of this study.



These assumptions regarding human behavior in an emergency situation become a critical tool in shelter planning, transportation modeling, evacuation decision-making and public information efforts.

The public responses having the greatest impact upon an evacuation are listed below. These tendencies and choices of potential evacuees must be quantified in the behavioral analysis:

- **Evacuation Rates** - The percentage of population in evacuated and non-evacuated areas that will evacuate during a threat;
- **Evacuation Timing** - When the evacuation population would leave their residences in response to a hurricane warning, watch, a given evacuation order or recommendation, and landfall;
- **Vehicle Use** - The number of vehicles that evacuating households would use for evacuation;
- **Type of Refuge** - The percentage of evacuees that will seek public shelter and other types of refuge such as the homes of friends and relatives, motel/hotels and other locations such as churches, workplaces, and second homes;
- **Evacuation Destinations** - The location an evacuee travels to in the event of an evacuation. These destinations can include public shelters, homes of friends/relatives, hotels/motels, and destinations out of the region;
- **Response by Vacationers** - The evacuation response by vacationers, including R.V. park visitors, encompassing evacuation rate, timing, public shelter use, and vehicle use.

Final behavioral assumptions for each county in the region are included near the end of this summary. Further discussion and a detailed explanation of the analysis used to derive primary behavioral assumptions are included in Volume 2 of the Regional Evacuation Study.

B. Methodology

1. Survey Methodology

To begin the behavioral analysis for the Statewide Regional Evacuation Study (SRES) program, new behavioral data was compiled from telephone responses to a survey instrument developed for the study by each regional planning council with input from local emergency managers. The wording of survey questions was further refined by Dr. Earl J. Baker of Hazards Management Group. Kerr-Downs Research Inc. administered the survey instrument via telephone interviews and assembled the results for each region as Volume 3 of the SRES. Volume 3 constitutes a compiled and complete listing of survey results and regional findings from the unprecedented 2007-2008 survey of Florida residents. Further analysis and planning assumptions were developed from the survey results by Hazards Management Group.

The primary aim of the survey was to provide data to assist in deriving evacuation related behavioral assumptions for transportation and shelter analyses. The main focus of the survey was hurricane evacuation, but questions were also asked about evacuation due to freshwater flooding, wildfires, hazardous material accidents, and nuclear power plant accidents. The survey instrument included questions that are important in developing accurate behavioral assumptions for transportation and shelter planning but also incorporated questions deemed useful by county emergency management officials. Meetings were held with county and regional planning council representatives to discuss the questionnaire and related survey issues.

In each coastal county of the state 400 interviews were conducted. The interviews were allocated between risk areas and non-surge areas in the respective counties. The aggregation of evacuation zones and allocations of interviews among the evacuation zones were determined after input from county and regional representatives and varied among counties and regions. Respondents to the survey were also selected in order to reflect aggregations of evacuation zones currently used operationally and in public information materials by counties and to provide appropriate distributions of data that would be necessary to derive behavioral projections as required for the SRES. In order to ensure that respondents resided in the evacuation zones of interest, addresses were selected first and then matched with telephone numbers. Only residences with land-line telephones were called, as sampling was conducted by address.

Note: In each non-coastal county of the state, 150 interviews were conducted randomly by telephone. An overview of the survey sample and aggregation of responses is included below in Table III-1.

Table III-1
Sample Sizes for Counties in the Apalachee Region

County	Site Built Homes	Mobile Homes	Total Homes
Franklin Cat 1	89	8	97
Franklin Cat 2-5	221	75	296
Gulf Cat 1	93	7	100
Gulf Cat 2-3	130	19	149
Gulf Cat 4-5	33	17	99
Jefferson Cat 1-5	28	16	44
Jefferson Non-surge	114	41	155
Wakulla Cat 1	147	50	197
Wakulla Cat 2-5	137	63	200
Calhoun (Non-coastal)	118	32	150
Gadsden (Non-coastal)	123	25	148
Jackson (Non-coastal)	112	35	147
Leon (Non-coastal)	144	3	147
Liberty (Non-coastal)	104	45	149
TOTAL	1665	463	2128

For hazards other than hurricanes, sample sizes are smaller. In most counties, one-third of the respondents were asked about freshwater flooding or wildfires or hazardous material accidents. In counties within the emergency planning zone for a nuclear power plant, one-fourth of the respondents were asked about one of the previously listed hazards or about nuclear power plants.

a. Storm Events

The behavioral survey for the Statewide Regional Evacuation Studies focused on the storm events of 2004 - 2005. Due to the varied impacted areas from the storm events, each of the 11 regional planning councils chose which storms the survey for their region would be based on. Most of the State of Florida was affected by Hurricanes Charley, Frances and Jeanne, in 2004, but other major storms in recent history such as Ivan (also 2004) and Wilma (2005) dealt regional impacts to other parts of the state. The relative value of survey results are strongly influenced by evacuation survey responses based on actual experience. Survey results from the Apalachee Region focused on experiences gained from Hurricanes Dennis, Frances, Jeanne, Ivan and Kate.



In 2005 Hurricane Dennis struck land on July 10th at Navarre Beach as a Category 3 hurricane. Even though Hurricane Dennis made landfall over 200 miles from the Florida Big Bend, it still caused significant damage to the coastline. Storm surge between 8 and 10 feet occurred in

Apalachee Bay and Highway 98 was washed out in several places in Franklin County.

Even if a tropical cyclone does not make landfall in our region, its impacts can still be felt. This was the case in the active 2004 season. Hurricanes Frances, Jeanne and Ivan impacted the Apalachee Region. The most severe was Hurricane Ivan which produced numerous tornadoes across the West Florida and Apalachee Bay regions. Compared to other parts of Florida, the Apalachee Region was less impacted by the 2004 storm season. Therefore, evacuation rates resulting from the storm events are, understandably, lower than high impact areas. However, evacuation orders were issued; flooding was widespread; and many trees were blown down and extended power outages were common. The effects of the three storms changed our collective attitudes about hurricanes statewide.

Hurricane Kate impacted the Apalachee Region more than 25 years ago, however, its impact in the region was significant and it was the last major hurricane to make landfall with such devastating destruction. Similar to Hurricane Dennis, Hurricane Kate produced significant storm surge of 6 to 8 feet well east of the landfall center near Mexico Beach. The City of Tallahassee experienced wind gusts of up to 87 miles per hour and the coastal communities of Gulf, Franklin and Wakulla counties were significantly impacted. Additional information on these five hurricanes (and more) can be found in the Hazards Analysis section of the Technical Data Report.

b. Regional Characteristics

In Chapter I, the population and demographics of the Apalachee Bay Region was discussed. It is recognized that the region faces unique evacuation challenges as a result of our demographic profile and coastal populations. Three of the four coastal counties in the region have population centers focused on the coastline and the shoreline of the Apalachee Bay Region is particularly prone to large storm surges. In addition, the entire populations of two coastal counties will be evacuated for category 4 and 5 hurricanes. It is anticipated that this will impact evacuation times and increase the demand for shelter space and special needs shelters. There is also a large mobile home population throughout the region which will have a significant effect on the evacuation and the shelter operations.

2. Deriving Behavioral Assumptions

Since each evacuation scenario is different and entirely unique, behavioral analysis for evacuation is predictive. The final products of behavioral analyses are basic assumptions that form the best available predictive information regarding likely human behavior. Regardless of how detailed, formal, or quantitative an evacuation plan appears, it contains assumptions about behaviors such as those discussed throughout this study. Every time a clearance time is calculated to determine the length of time required to complete an evacuation under a defined scenario, the model simulations include quantitative assumptions regarding behavioral factors. Behavioral assumptions are also employed in an effort to predict the needed capacity of shelters to house an unknown number of residents that will evacuate to a public shelter. Behavioral assumptions will change over time based on the level of public education regarding evacuation or the level of

evacuation experience of a population. The issue is not whether such assumptions are or should be made; but what the assumptions should be.

There is no simple one-rule-fits-all technique for deriving behavioral assumptions for planning. The best solution is to employ the best available mix of indicators, relying most heavily on the best information available for each behavior and scenario in question. A detailed listing and discussion of behavioral assumptions is included in Volume II of this Statewide Regional Evacuation Study. However, a few of the most fundamental and critical assumptions are included at the end of this summary.

C. Key Findings for the Apalachee Region

1. Information and Awareness

One of the most valuable bits of information to emergency managers is to understand how people are accessing information about evacuation, and if they understand it. Previous surveys have repeatedly shown that most people look to their television for evacuation notices.

Nearly seven out of ten Apalachee Region residents (69%) have access to the Internet. However, only one in five residents with Internet access (20%) claims to have visited their county's website to search for information about hurricanes. Surprisingly, nearly seven out of ten residents (69%) of the Apalachee Region maintain they have seen a map of their county showing areas that need to evacuate in case of hurricanes.

One in three residents (34%) of the coastal counties in the Apalachee Region believes they live in an evacuation zone. Knowledge about one's evacuation zone is limited as shown in Table III-2 below:

**Table III-2
Awareness of Evacuation Zones**

Evacuation Zone	Know Evacuation Zone in Which One Lives
Category 1	32%
Category 2	33%
Category 3	34%
Category 4	34%
Category 5	34%

The survey results indicate that respondents had only limited knowledge about evacuation zones. Only 34% of respondents in the Apalachee Region who live in evacuation zones for category 4 and 5 hurricanes correctly identified themselves as living in an evacuation zone. This finding indicates a lack of knowledge or understanding of risk, the relationship of storm surge and evacuation zones and illustrates the need for more effective public information. Despite this lack of knowledge, a high percentage of residents of coastal areas have confidence that emergency management officials will issue evacuation notices saying residents should leave their homes to seek safer locations when necessary. Residents'

confidence that emergency managers will issue evacuation notices rises for more severe storms. Response rates for non-surge and inland counties show a high confidence rate that evacuation orders will be issued when needed.

2. Evacuation Intent

Percentages of citizens who say they will follow mandatory evacuation notices varies depending on the strength of the storm. It makes sense that compliance with orders for evacuation increases linearly as hurricanes strengthen from category 1 or 2 to 3 to 5. However, percentages of respondents that claim their intent to evacuate are consistently higher than actual evacuation rates, especially when respondents were asked whether they intend to comply with evacuation orders.

Table III-3
Percentages of Evacuating Households and Destinations

Storm	Evacuated	Neighborhood	County	Elsewhere In Florida	Outside Of Florida
Kate	20%	4%	6%	9%	0%
Opal	17%	1%	5%	10%	0%
Ivan	17%	3%	4%	7%	3%
Frances	11%	1%	2%	7%	2%
Dennis	22%	3%	4%	11%	5%
Katrina	14%	3%	4%	2%	4%

Hurricane Frances impacted the Apalachee Region as a low intensity storm; therefore, the evacuation participation rates are lower than other locations in Florida that were impacted more severely. Although significant surge was experienced during Hurricane Dennis, the Apalachee Region has not been impacted by a major storm since Hurricane Kate (1985).

Significant percentages of residents say they intend to evacuate their homes even when the evacuation notice does not apply directly to them (Table III-4). The term “shadow evacuation” applies to those residents that evacuate without having been told to evacuate. The survey results shown below illustrate that shadow evacuation rates are higher in inland and non-surge areas.

**Table III-4
Shadow Evacuees**

Storm Category	Evacuation Notice for Category 1 and 2	Evacuation Notice for Category 1 -3	Evacuation Notice for All Storms
Category 1	64%	81%	85%
Category 2	57%	81%	93%
Category 3	57%	81%	93%
Category 4	56%	78%	91%
Category 5	56%	78%	91%
Non-Surge	54%	76%	95%
Inland	64%	75%	93%


The table above reveals that non-surge vulnerable inland evacuees could represent a significant proportion of the overall evacuation population and will contribute to potential evacuation route congestion, fuel shortages and shelter demand.

3. Evacuation Destination

Regardless of the hurricane strength, most residents of the Apalachee Region intend to go to friends or relatives if they evacuate. Behavioral survey results indicated that approximately 41% of evacuees intend to find safety in the households of friends and family. About 16% of evacuees plan to go to a motel. Survey responses indicate that approximately 18% of evacuees intend to go to public shelters.


Most residents intend to evacuate to other places in Florida regardless of hurricane strength. Almost half of the residents in site-built homes in coastal counties said they would go out of county when evacuating, compared to less than one quarter of those in non-coastal counties. About the same number of mobile home evacuees said they would go out of county (49% from coastal counties and 27% from non-coastal counties). The percentages of residents who intend to evacuate outside Florida increase considerably as hurricanes strengthen to category 5. Most residents who intend to evacuate outside Florida will go to Georgia. Specific information regarding evacuation destinations are shown in the following two figures.

Figure III-1
Evacuation Destinations by Type



Comparatively, more residents living in inland counties (25%) plan to evacuate to a public shelter. Percentages of residents who plan to evacuate to a public shelter vary considerably across counties. 39% of Gadsden County residents and 28% of Liberty County residents plan to seek public shelter, while only 7% of Franklin County residents say they will go to a public shelter in a Category 1 or 2 event.

Figure III-2
Evacuation Destinations by Location



4. Obstacles to Evacuation

In order to determine why residents who are ordered to evacuate indicate that they will not, questions designed to identify any potential obstacles to evacuation were posed.

Approximately 3% of Apalachee residents said they do not have a vehicle in their household that could be used for evacuation. Ten percent of households include an individual who requires assistance during evacuation. Less than half of these households (37%) have an individual who is disabled, has a medical condition or requires some other type of special assistance beyond transportation assistance. Only 2% of all households will require assistance from an outside agency. Over one in four households that require special assistance (27%) have registered with their county as needing special assistance.

Nearly six in ten residents in the Apalachee Region (60%) have pets: 80% of these residents plan to take their pets with them if they evacuate. Most residents with pets (86%) are aware that public shelters will not accept pets and only 3% of these residents claim they will not evacuate because of this fact.

**Table III-5
Households in Need of Assistance to Evacuate**

Evacuation Zone	Households	Need Assistance	Do Not Need Assistance	Uncertain
Apalachee	2150	10%	88%	2%
Category 1	409	11%	88%	1%
Category 2	184	8%	90%	2%
Category 3	184	8%	90%	2%
Category 4	134	8%	90%	2%
Category 5	133	8%	90%	2%
Non-Surge	356	7%	91%	2%
Inland	750	12%	87%	1%

5. Evacuation Scenarios

Evacuation behavior can be affected by a variety of external factors as illustrated throughout the behavioral survey results. Several of the most significant factors and likely behavioral responses are discussed in this section.

a. Storm Characteristics

(1). Storm Severity

The 2007-2008 behavioral survey results for the Apalachee Region consistently show a marked difference in responses associated with hypothetical severe storms (Category 4 and Category 5). Storm severity also plays a significant role in evacuation destination especially with regard to out-of-county travel. Conclusions derived from Hurricanes Frances and Jeanne participation rates cannot accurately predict the evacuation scenario for a large, highly destructive major storm. In

Florida, evacuation during Hurricane Floyd is one of the best examples of multi-regional, multi-state evacuation caused by a large hurricane. The setting for Hurricane Floyd in 1999 should be taken into account when attempting to understand the reaction of the populous. Floyd was a strong category 4 storm that had moved on a path directly toward South Florida for several days. The storm was ominous, but forecasters guardedly predicted that Floyd would veer off into the Atlantic and miss impacting Florida altogether. The storm continued to advance with huge press coverage and did not turn until finally, at the last safe distance, the storm altered its course and skirted the State. Floyd did, however, make landfall in North Carolina as a category 2 storm, causing major damage along the Eastern Seaboard and initiating what Time Magazine described as the largest evacuation in history. The point here is to give an idea of how public response can be affected by an extreme storm.

Evacuation rates in non-coastal counties during Floyd ranged from 12% in the East Central Florida region to 49% in the Charleston, SC region. The average non-coastal county evacuation rate for all 11 regions studied was about 24%. Keep in mind that Floyd was a major storm and every storm is different. However, because of the scale of the Floyd evacuation, the chance of reoccurrence must be recognized. Results for coastal and non-coastal county evacuation need to be continually evaluated and validated by behavioral studies from other storms.

In summary, the Hurricane Floyd Assessment clearly showed that, in a major storm, people will get in their car and leave their home county. In fact, the 7,000 surveys from the Hurricane Floyd Assessment inferred that 75% of the nearly 3 million evacuees left their county. As stated throughout this study, every storm presents a unique and different scenario. However, storm severity has consistently been shown to be a significant factor in making the decision to evacuate. Multi-region clearance times are provided in Volume 4 – Evacuation Transportation Analysis.

(2). Landfalling, Paralleling and Exiting Storm Paths

The path of the storm can have a significant effect on any evacuation scenario especially with respect to out-of-county evacuation destinations. A comparison of these three storm path scenarios serves as a reminder that every storm is different. Therefore, studies such as this one cannot predict operational decision making. However, a general discussion of potential scenarios can provide useful information to emergency managers for decision making.

- (a). Landfalling storms are storms that impact the coastline directly. Generally, landfalling storms precipitate the highest surge values and most destructive winds. With regard to evacuation, landfalling storms allow for more alternative evacuation destinations. For example, a storm landfalling in the Apalachee region would allow for evacuating populations to find safe destinations to the east or west of the storm path.
- (b). Paralleling storms, like the name suggests, typically travel along the coastline. On the Gulf Coast of Florida paralleling storms are potentially more destructive

than on the Atlantic coast due to the counterclockwise spin of a tropical cyclone. Evacuation patterns are typically to the north and away from the storm path.

- (c). Exiting storms, as the name also suggests are storms that have made landfall and, after having travelled across land, are heading back to sea. In Florida, that typically means across the peninsula. Relative surge values and wind speeds are typically lower for exiting storms. However, Hurricanes Charley, Frances and Jeanne in 2004 demonstrated that evacuation of vulnerable areas during an exiting storm is often warranted due to the unpredictable nature of storm events. Each of the three storms created a different scenario with unique characteristics. Therefore, operational decisions cannot be made in advance. Discussion of storm scenarios only provides a theoretical frame of reference.

(3). Evacuation Timing

The timeframe in which people respond to an evacuation order varies. The terms long response and short response refer to the time it takes for evacuees to mobilize following an evacuation notice. Evacuation studies typically express the temporal nature of evacuation response in a "response curve" that is derived from response curves documented in actual evacuation. Traffic modelers, in turn, load the response curve into the model to calculate evacuating traffic counts and predict potentials for traffic congestion during a future evacuation event.

The most significant factor affecting a long or short response is the urgency of the evacuation order. Response curves are also affected by the media. If a storm changes course unexpectedly or intensifies it usually becomes necessary to hasten evacuation. Urgency is sometimes inherent due to the relatively inaccurate science of hurricane forecasting.

b. Phased Evacuation

In urban areas or in areas with large at-risk populations, staged evacuation is a tool to allow for a more orderly evacuation. In this scenario, specific areas are given a time window in which to evacuate based on the capacity of the roadway to accommodate the expected flow. Phased evacuation is commonly used in the Florida Keys due to the roadway characteristics that link this densely populated string of islands. The effectiveness of phased evacuation relies on accurate behavioral assumptions.

c. One-Way Evacuation Operation

One-way evacuation operation is an evacuation scenario where authorities change the direction of highway lanes to direct all lanes to flow in the same direction. The purpose is to hasten the evacuation of people during a major disaster. When a major hurricane is expected to make landfall, the Highway Patrol will implement one-way evacuation operations upon an Executive Order from the Governor.

Currently, only a few highway segments are designated for potential reverse lane flow operations. The only one-way evacuation facility in the Apalachee Region is I-10 westbound from Jacksonville.

In situations where evacuation timing is critical and a few additional hours are needed for evacuation, one-way evacuation operations will speed up the evacuation of residents and tourists. However, one-way evacuation operations are counter-intuitive to the driving public and are only proposed to be implemented during daylight hours. Substantial numbers of public safety man-hours are needed to implement the traffic redirection at each interchange. Yet for all the preparation and man-hour resources needed for implementation, modeling efforts predict only a 33% increase in roadway capacity. Therefore, the applicability of one-way evacuation operation is limited to specific scenarios where the Governor recognizes the urgency for a temporary increase in evacuation route capacity.

6. Evacuation Behavior for Other Hazards

The behavioral survey administered for the Statewide Regional Evacuation Study Program included several questions regarding other disasters that may precipitate evacuation orders. Survey respondents were asked questions about their awareness of vulnerability and willingness to follow evacuation orders if issued. The following behavioral information is gathered from Volume 3 – Regional Behavioral Survey Report.

Survey findings included here regarding other evacuation related hazards represent an initial investigation into potential behaviors associated with the hazards examined below. These findings have not been validated through comparison and correlation with similar studies. Additionally, responses to the survey are theoretical and sufficient actual response data is not available to be confident how well intentions match actual behavior. Therefore, these findings should be considered a starting point for future investigations and analysis.

a. Wildfire

The following questions were part of the survey. Responses and further discussion are below the question

(1). Do you believe that your home might ever be threatened by a wildfire?

Over half (56%) of the residents in the Apalachee Region believe that their area may be threatened by wildfire at some point in the future. This feeling is more prevalent in inland areas (57%). Residents in Liberty County (68%) are much more likely to feel threatened by wildfires, while residents of Gadsden County (45%) are considerably less concerned that wildfires may threaten their areas.

(2). If a wildfire threatened your community and public safety officials ordered you to evacuate, would you?

Nearly nine out of ten residents of the Apalachee Region (89%) claim they intend to evacuate if ordered to do so by public safety officials because of wildfire threats. Intent to evacuate if ordered to do so is stable across evacuation zones. Intent to evacuate varies somewhat across counties as 96% of Leon County residents say they intend to evacuate because of wildfires if ordered to do so by public safety officials, while only 77% of Liberty County residents intend to evacuate.

(3). Where would you go if you evacuated because of a wildfire?

Just about one in eight residents (12%) intend to go to public shelters if there is a need to evacuate because of wildfires. A plurality of residents (49%) intends to evacuate to friends and relatives, while 15% plans to go to a hotel/motel. Evacuation zone 1 residents (56%) are more likely to go to a public shelter, while inland residents and residents in the other evacuation zones (about 55%) are more likely to go to friends or relatives.

Responses to this question vary widely across counties. For example, 24% of Gadsden County residents say they intend to evacuate to a public shelter, while fewer Leon (3%) residents intend to do so. Over half of Leon County residents (57%) say they will go to friends and family, while only 30% of Liberty County residents will do so.

(4). Since you've been living in this location, have you ever evacuated your home because of a wildfire?

Only 1% of residents in the Apalachee Region say they have experienced a wildfire while living in this area. One resident referenced the years 1995, 2002, 2005, 2006 and 2007 as years during which wildfires threatened their home. One of the four residents who answered affirmatively to this question noted that they evacuated to friends or relatives.

b. Freshwater Flooding

Freshwater flooding in the Apalachee Region can occur for a variety of reasons including dam failure, riverine flooding and seasonal flooding from rainfall events. Please refer to the Hazards Analysis of this Technical Data Report for specific description of vulnerabilities. The questions below do not refer to any specific flooding scenario or situation.

(1). Do you believe that your home might ever be threatened by freshwater flooding?

One in six residents (16%) of the Apalachee Region say their home(s) might be threatened by freshwater flooding at some point. Inland residents are slightly less likely (14%) as residents in evacuation zones to make this claim. Residents in Jefferson County (23%) and Calhoun County (22%) residents are more likely to

claim their homes might eventually be threatened by freshwater flooding, while comparatively few Jackson County residents (10%) make this claim.

(2). If freshwater flooding threatened your community and public safety officials ordered you to evacuate, would you?

Three in four residents in the Apalachee Region (76%) maintain they will evacuate their homes if ordered to do so by public safety officials because of freshwater flooding. This percentage is considerably lower than the 89% of residents who claim they will evacuate because of wildfires. Residents living in inland areas (83%) are more likely to say they intend to evacuate for freshwater flooding if ordered to do so by public officials. Gadsden County (90%) and Jackson (85%) County residents are more likely to intend to evacuate because of freshwater flooding. Only 65% of Franklin County residents say they will evacuate if ordered to do so because of freshwater flooding.

(3). Where would you go if you evacuated because of freshwater flooding?

Forty-six percent of residents intend to evacuate to friends or relatives if ordered to evacuate by public officials as a result of freshwater flooding. Residents living in inland counties (49%) are more likely to evacuate to friends and relatives. Over one in ten residents (12%) maintains they will go to public shelters, with more residents in evacuation zone 1 (15%) and in inland counties (16%) planning to do so. Percentages of residents planning to go to public shelter vary dramatically, with only 2% of Jackson County residents planning to do so, but 32% of Gadsden County residents will.

(4). Since you've been living in this location, have you ever evacuated your home because of freshwater flooding?

Few residents of the Apalachee Region (2%) indicate they have experienced freshwater flooding while living in this area. Variations between counties are slight with none of the residents in several counties claiming to have evacuated because of freshwater flooding, while 5% of Leon County residents make this claim. Most residents sought shelter with family and relatives during freshwater flooding events.

c. Hazardous Materials Accident

(1). Do you believe that your home might ever be threatened by a hazardous material accident?

One in five Apalachee residents (15%) believes they will be threatened by a hazardous material accident. Concern for this type of accident is greater in evacuation zones 2 and 3 (25%). Belief of future threats from a hazardous material accident is highest in Jefferson and Jackson counties (24%) and lowest in Gadsden County (9%).

(2). If a hazardous material accident threatened your community and public safety officials ordered you to evacuate, would you?

While few residents (19%) believe that they are threatened by a future hazardous material accident, a high percentage (90%) say they intend to evacuate their homes if public safety officials ask them to do so in response to this type of accident. Residents living in all evacuation zones are likely to evacuate if told to do so, and 93% of residents in inland counties will evacuate if ordered.

(3). Where would you go if you evacuated because of a hazardous material accident?

Only 8% of residents in the Apalachee Region say they intend to go to a public shelter if they evacuate from a hazardous material accident. A plurality of residents (45%) intends to go to friends or relatives. One in six (17%) intends to evacuate to a hotel or motel. Residents living in non-surge zones and inland counties are more likely to seek safety at public shelter (10%). Residents in Jefferson and Wakulla counties (52%) are more likely to friends and relatives while Gadsden County residents (19%) are more likely to seek safety at public shelters.

(4). Since you've been living in this location, have you ever evacuated your home because of a hazardous material accident?

Only one percent of residents in the Apalachee Region say they have evacuated due to a hazardous material accident in the region.

(5). Suppose there was a hazardous material accident but public safety officials advised you to close your windows and doors, turn off your air conditioner, and stay indoors rather than trying to evacuate. Would you stay indoors rather than trying to evacuate?

Three out of four residents in the Apalachee Region (75%) claim they will follow public safety officials' instructions to stay indoors rather than trying to evacuate. Reactions to this question was highest in evacuation zones 4 and 5 (85%) and lowest in inland counties (72%). Willingness to stay indoors following a hazardous material accident varies widely across counties and is highest in Leon County (91%) and lowest in Gadsden County (54%).

D. Use of Survey Findings

Responses to individual survey questions alone are not usually good indicators of how residents will respond in actual threats. A mix of the following indicators was used in deriving behavioral assumptions to use in planning:

- Intended responses
- Responses in past threats
- Responses in past threats in other locations
- Factors usually correlated with actual response

1. Intended Responses

Some of the survey questions asked respondents what they would do in certain situations – whether they would evacuate, where they would go, and so forth. Answers to those questions constitute intended responses and they provide a very straightforward indicator of behavior. Unfortunately, intended responses often do not match actual responses. That is, people often don't do what they said they would do. In some cases there are statistical adjustments to intended responses that result in much closer matches to actual behavior. For example, in most locations actual use of public shelters is only about half the level indicated by intended response surveys.

2. Actual Responses

A number of survey questions asked interviewees how they responded in past hurricane threats. Depending on their county, Apalachee survey participants were asked about their evacuation behavior in three of the following hurricanes: Charley, Dennis, Frances, Ivan, Jeanne, Kate, Katrina and Opal. An earlier survey in the region had provided actual response data about Elena. Responses in past threats can be good predictors of future response, but only if the past threats are similar to future threats. For most counties in the Apalachee Region past threats from the above storms were not as serious as threats that could be posed by future storms. Therefore, the evacuation participation rates observed in those storms are not good indicators of what it is reasonable to plan for in future threats. For other behaviors such as type of refuge and destination, past responses can be compared for consistency from one evacuation to another and can be used as a comparison with intended responses.

3. Past Response in Other Locations

Although all places are different, responses and patterns observed in one set of locations are often good indicators of what can occur elsewhere, when conditions are similar. This is particularly useful when planning for threats for which there is no reliable response data for similar threats for the region. As part of the Statewide Regional Evacuation Study, twelve different hurricane threats were asked about in one county or another. In addition, public response has been documented in many other hurricane threats both in and out of Florida, some of which are relevant to planning in the Apalachee region. For example, in the great majority of evacuations fewer than 15% of evacuees leave on their own, prior to an evacuation notice being issued by public officials. Due to the consistency of that finding, it is reasonable to apply it to the Apalachee counties.

4. Statistical Predictors

Data from other hurricane evacuation surveys like those described above have been analyzed statistically to identify factors that have been correlated with evacuation behavior. Certain variables have been found to predict actual response better than others. For example, perceived vulnerability, actual vulnerability (e.g., evacuation zone), housing type, and hearing evacuation orders are all good predictors of whether residents will evacuate. The SRES survey measured perceived vulnerability, evacuation zone, housing type and

expectation of being told to evacuate. Those factors were combined to provide an indication of whether interviewees would evacuate in certain storm threats, from certain locations, and from certain types of housing. Other variables were used to provide an indication of other evacuation behaviors.

5. Combining Information

There is no simple one-rule-fits-all technique for using the above information in deriving behavioral assumptions for planning. The best solution is to employ the best available mix of indicators, relying most heavily on the best information available for each behavior and scenario in question, for a particular county and storm threat. When good, reliable actual response information was available for a certain storm threat scenario, it was relied on more than other types of information. When actual response information was lacking, a combination of intended response, trends from other locations, and application of predictor variables was used.

6. Sample Size Considerations

Survey statistics were derived from the sample described previously (Table 1 in Section B.1. above). The sample provides an estimate of values for the population of people from which the sample was drawn. For example, a sample of Gulf County residents was interviewed for the purpose of estimating how the larger population of Gulf County residents would respond to the same questions.

The sampling plan used in the survey was designed to provide statistically useful county-level data, given budgetary constraints. However, sample estimates become less reliable statistically when the responses are disaggregated, as they were in the analyses conducted as part of the study. When responses are broken down by evacuation zone within a county and then by housing type, population-level differences among zones and between housing types are not always as large as they might appear in the sample. This is because sampling error increases when sample size decreases. Therefore, differences in the sample might not be large enough to support a conclusion that similar differences exist in the population from which the sample was selected, due to sampling error.

Aggregating results across counties helps overcome zonal and housing disaggregation problems. However, county variations – if they exist – are masked when results are aggregated at the regional level. The analysis looked at survey results at both the county and regional levels, relying on county-level data to the extent that sample sizes justified that level of analysis, but relying more on regional data when county-level sample sizes were too small.

This is especially true for actual response data. Many respondents were not living in their current county when past storm threats occurred, so they were not asked about their response in those storms. If a resident was living in the area at the time but didn't evacuate, that person couldn't be asked where he or she went (e.g., public shelter, out-of-county). Therefore, for certain actual response questions, regional statistics were more meaningful than county statistics.

E. Planning Assumptions

Specific Planning assumptions for residents are shown in the following tables. Appearing below each set of tables, there is a brief description of the content of the table. For a more in-depth analysis of the planning assumptions, refer to Volume 3 – Regional Behavioral Survey Report.

For each coastal county there are 14 tables:

1. Evacuation rate for site-built homes
2. Out-of-county trip rates for site-built homes
3. Percent of available vehicles to be used by site-built homes
4. Public shelter use rates for site-built homes
5. Friend and relative use rates for site-built homes
6. Hotel and motel use rates for site-built homes
7. Other refuge use rates for site-built homes
8. Evacuation rate for site-built homes
9. Out-of-county trip rates for mobile and manufactured homes
10. Percent of available vehicles to be used by mobile and manufactured homes
11. Public shelter use rates for mobile and manufactured homes
12. Friend and relative use rates for mobile and manufactured homes
13. Hotel and motel use rates for mobile and manufactured homes
14. Other refuge use rates for mobile and manufactured homes

In each table for county there are planning assumptions for six evacuation zones:

1. Areas needing to evacuate due to storm surge flooding from category 1 hurricanes
2. Areas needing to evacuate due to storm surge flooding from category 2 hurricanes
3. Areas needing to evacuate due to storm surge flooding from category 3 hurricanes
4. Areas needing to evacuate due to storm surge flooding from category 4 hurricanes
5. Areas needing to evacuate due to storm surge flooding from category 5 hurricanes
6. Areas not needing to evacuate due to storm surge flooding from hurricanes

Zones were defined relative to zones currently used by each county. In instances where counties currently aggregate zones the planning assumptions were interpolated for intermediate zones. For example, if a county used zones 1-2, 3, and 4-5, trends across those zones were used to specify assumptions for zones 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

1. Evacuation Rates

Evacuation rates refer to the percentage of people who will leave their homes to go someplace safer during a hurricane threat. This is a critical variable for planning because it drives the number of vehicles on the roadways during an evacuation. Responses will vary even for hurricanes of the same intensity, depending on how great the threat appears to be to one's specific location, as well as other factors. Evacuation rates on the periphery of warning areas tend to be lower than in areas closest to the projected path of a threatening storm. A strong category 4 hurricane which has maintained its intensity for a day or more prior to landfall will elicit greater response than one which intensifies from a 2 to a 4 just six hours prior to landfall or one which weakens from a 4 to a 2 twelve hours prior to landfall.

Both media attention and actions by public officials will vary from one strong category 4 hurricane to another due to similar considerations. A large category 4 storm will receive greater attention from media and officials than a small category 4 storm (e.g., Floyd, “Andrew’s Big Brother”). Actions by public officials have a great impact on evacuation rate. People are much more likely to evacuate, especially in strong storms, when they believe they have been ordered to evacuate than when they believe they have received a recommendation to evacuate or haven’t been told at all whether they should evacuate. A problem is that many people (often 30% in category 1 evacuation zones) fail to hear, comprehend, or believe that evacuation orders apply to them. The methods and aggressiveness used to disseminate evacuation notices affect evacuation rates.

The planning assumptions for evacuation rates are the *maximum probable rates*. They assume that a threatening storm of a given category poses its greatest threat to each county. That is,

1. The storm’s forecast track is over the county early and throughout at least a full day of the threat.
2. The storm has been at the specified intensity for at least a day of the threat and remains at that intensity until landfall.
3. The storm makes landfall in the county.

These conditions aren’t met very often, and recent threats in the Apalachee Region have not generated evacuation rates as high as those in some of the planning assumptions. In fact in the 12 storms asked about in one county or another as part of the study, the highest evacuation rates observed for site-built homes in the category 1 evacuation zone in any county was 80% (Santa Rosa in Ivan and Nassau in Floyd). But evacuation rates over 90% have been documented in other threats (e.g., Escambia in Frederic, parts of Pinellas in Elena, most of coastal Georgia and southern South Carolina in Floyd, and Galveston, Texas in Rita).

Applying the county planning assumptions to the entire region overstates evacuation rate for the region, because not every county in the region will meet the conditions. However, one doesn’t know in advance the county to which they will apply, if any.

The planning assumptions assume that officials issue mandatory evacuation orders for surge-related evacuation zones for hurricanes of corresponding intensities (e.g., everyone in the category 1 evacuation zone is ordered to evacuate in a category 1 hurricane). It also assumes that all mobile homes and residents of manufactured housing are ordered to evacuate for hurricanes of all intensities.

The planning assumptions include shadow evacuation – people leaving from areas and structures not ordered by officials to evacuate. These assumptions can add substantially to the total number of people evacuating and generating shelter demand, but the phenomenon exists, particularly when conditions such as those enumerated above apply (storm is forecast for an extended period to strike the county, maintains its intensity, and makes landfall in the county). One reason that shadow evacuation occurs is that many people have misconceptions about their vulnerability.

2. Out-of-County Trips

Many evacuees go farther than necessary to reach safety, and the planning assumptions indicate the percentage of evacuees who will go to destinations outside their own county. The Survey Data Report lists the actual destination (i.e., city) where intended evacuees said they would go and where actual evacuees have gone in the past, if they said they would go or went beyond their own neighborhoods. Going out-of-county can increase evacuation clearance times but has occurred in the past and will in the future until officials are more successful at dissuading evacuees from doing so. Very few out-of-county evacuees seek refuge in public shelters. The great majority go to the homes of friends and relatives or to hotels and motels. Because evacuation rates were low in recent storms, out-of-county trip rates are based on the minority of residents who evacuated and might not be the same if evacuation rates had been greater.

3. Type of Refuge

There are separate tables for the percentage of evacuees who will go to public shelters, the homes of friends and relatives, hotels and motels, and other types of refuge (such as churches, workplaces, and second homes). Survey respondents tend to overstate their likelihood of using public shelters and understate their likelihood of going to the homes of friends and relatives. Actual refuge use is the best indicator, but in the Apalachee Region there have been too few evacuees in recent hurricane threats included in the survey to provide highly-reliable estimates at the county level for future planning. Planning assumptions for the counties reflect a reduced value of the intended public shelter use figures unless actual response values were consistent with the intended behavior. The ability of evacuees to actually go to their intended refuge or to the places they have gone in the past will depend of the availability of those refuges in future threats.

4. Percent of Available Vehicles

Many evacuating households tend to take only a portion of the vehicles available to them, mainly to avoid separating the family more than necessary. The planning assumptions indicate the percentage of vehicles available to households that will be used in an evacuation. The Survey Data Report includes the number of vehicles available to evacuating households and the number they would take. The percent-of-available figures are derived from those data. Although planners could use the number of vehicles per household from the survey and reported in the Survey Data Report, census data should provide better statistical estimates of the number of vehicles available to households, to which the percent-of-available multipliers can be applied. The survey asked only about intended vehicle use, but a large number of post-storm surveys have asked about actual vehicle use, and the intended use figures tend to match the actual use figures well.

5. Evacuation Timing

Not all evacuees leave at the same time. Some leave before public officials issue evacuation notices, some leave very soon following issuance of evacuation notices, and some wait until shortly before they expect the threatening storm to arrive.

a. Evidence from Past Evacuations

Many surveys documenting response following hurricane evacuations have asked evacuees to indicate the time and date when they departed their homes. The responses have been graphed to depict cumulative evacuation curves. The curves show how the evacuation (on the y-axis) grew over time (on the x-axis), typically with a few people leaving early and then increasing to the point at which 100% of the evacuees had eventually departed. The curves indicate when vehicles enter the evacuation network as evacuating vehicles, not when they reached their destinations or when they made other trips in the network prior to evacuating.

In general a graph of when evacuees depart often looks like the letter "S." In some evacuations the "S" is compressed laterally (i.e., over time) to appear thin and upright. Those curves occur when all departures occur in a relatively short period of time. They usually happen when evacuation notices were not issued early enough due to an unexpected change in a storm's track, forward speed, or intensity. By the time evacuation notices are issued, little time remains before anticipated landfall, so evacuees leave with a sense of urgency corresponding to the threat. This would be referred to as a relatively "fast" or "quick" response.

In other evacuations the "S" is stretched laterally and covers more of the length of the line on which it appears, with departures being distributed over a longer length of time. It looks "flatter." In those cases evacuation notices were issued well in advance of anticipated landfall of the storm, and residents were aware that they had the luxury of waiting longer before departing if they choose to do so. Some evacuees do wait longer before leaving, but not all do. Departures are distributed over a longer period of time than in the first example. This might be referred to as a "slow" response.


There are also evacuation timing curves that fall between those two, resulting in an "S" that is less compressed than the first, but less stretched than the second. This sort of evacuation results when evacuation notices are issued earlier than in the first example, but not as early as in the second case.

In all three scenarios evacuees collectively take as much time as they believe is available to them. Perceptions about the urgency of the evacuation account for variations in whether the evacuation is "quick," "slow," or in between ("normal").

b. Response Curves for Planning

The three evacuation timing scenarios described above are depicted graphically in Figure 3, reflecting the three versions of the letter "S." The slowest of the three curves assumes that evacuation notices were issued at least 24 hours before landfall. The fastest of the three assumes that evacuation notices were issued just 12 hours prior to the anticipated onset of hurricane conditions.

**Figure III-3
Response Curves for Evacuation Planning**



c. Variations in the Curves

The haste in which evacuees depart is mainly a function of the perceived urgency of leaving sooner rather than later. Variations from storm to storm are usually a function of forecasts. If a forecast changes to indicate that landfall will occur sooner than previously anticipated, more people will started leaving. If intensity of a storm increases, indicating that additional areas of a community need to evacuate, departures from those areas will increase. These changes influence public response primarily through evacuation notices and instructions provided by local officials. Officials can significantly affect the distribution of departures by when they issue evacuation notices and how they word the notices and related announcements.

In each threat scenario occupants of less vulnerable areas (e.g., inland) will tend to wait longer to evacuate than those living in more hazardous locations (e.g., beaches). Variation in the curves is a function of variation in the perceived urgency of evacuating promptly, not demographics.

People prefer not to evacuate at night but will do so if necessary. Examples are Eloise, Elena and Opal. Relatively few people leave prior to the issuance of evacuation notices by officials. People are willing to leave before watches and warnings are posted by the National Hurricane Center if asked to do so by local officials.

d. Examples of Actual Response Curves

Respondents to the SRES survey were not asked when they departed in past evacuations because too much time had passed between the evacuations and the interviews to trust the accuracy of recollections. The questions would also have made the interviews unacceptably lengthy. There are ample actual response curves that have been documented in other surveys.

(1). Two-day Evacuations

If officials issue evacuation notices more than 24 hours prior to anticipated landfall, evacuation departures will be distributed over a period longer than 24 hours. Some evacuees will leave shortly after the evacuation notice during daylight hours, then departures will essentially stop on the evening of the first day and then resume on the morning of the second day.

Most of the recent evacuations in Florida and elsewhere have taken place over a period of more than 24 hours. This has been the result of evacuation notices having been issued more than 24 hours prior to arrival of the storms. Curves were constructed for 11 different coastal regions in Floyd, for example, including four regions in Florida, and all 11 curves were distributed over more than a 24-hour period. All four of the 2004 major hurricanes in Florida (Charley, Frances, Ivan, and Jeanne) had evacuations that covered more than 24 hours. Evacuation departures in Katrina in Mississippi and Louisiana and in Rita in Texas in 2005 occurred over a period of two days or more. The same was true of Bertha and Fran in South Carolina in 1996, Georges in Florida in 1998, Lili in Texas and Louisiana in 2002 and Isabel in Virginia and Maryland in 2003.

(2). One-day Evacuations

The prevalence of two-evacuations stems from good forecasts and a precautionary approach by public safety officials, particularly in stronger storms. If the National Hurricane Center goes forward with plans to extend the lead times for Hurricane Watches and Warnings by 12 hours, early issuance of evacuation notices will probably continue.

However, good early forecasts won't always be the case, or for other reasons evacuations notices won't be issued early enough to afford the luxury of having two days in which to evacuate. In those instances evacuations in certain areas will need to be rushed to completion following issuance of evacuation notices, and the duration of evacuations will be less than two days. If the goal of clearance time calculations is to estimate the minimum amount of time necessary to complete an evacuation safely, response curves of shorter duration than two days should be assumed.

The quickest of the one-day curves assumes that all evacuees depart within 12 hours of an evacuation notice being issued, with just 10% having left prior to the evacuation notice. Examples of approximately 12-hour response curves are Broward

and Miami-Dade Counties in Andrew in 1992, Pinellas County in Elena in 1985 and Escambia County in Frederic in 1979. Storms in which evacuation departures were distributed over a 12 to 18 hour period include David in Miami-Dade in 1979 and Opal in northwest Florida in 1995. Eloise in northwest Florida in 1975 is a rare example of evacuation departures occurring over a period of just six hours, but in some locations as little as 45% of the public evacuated.

F. Planning Assumptions for Vacationers

Compared to residents, there is relatively little data documenting how vacationers respond to hurricane threats, and no SRES survey was conducted with vacationers to ascertain their intentions. Recommendations for behavioral assumptions for tourists are derived from intended-response survey findings with visitors to other locations and from existing data on how vacationers have responded in other locations, including the Carolinas.

1. Evacuation Rates

There is no evidence that vacationers are reluctant to evacuate when a hurricane interrupts their visit to a coastal community. Based on observations of vacationer behavior in other locations and surveys in other locations concerning intended responses, it is reasonable to assume that 90% to 95% of vacationers will evacuate their accommodations *if evacuation orders are issued*.

2. Type of Refuge

Officials sometimes report a large number of vacationers in public shelters, but they represent a very small percentage of the total visitor population. Fewer than 5% of the evacuating vacationers will go to public shelters. Between 25% and 50% will seek inland hotels and motels. The remainder will return home or stay with friends and relatives in Florida, although the number returning home will depend on the distances traveled by tourists from home. Those most likely to return home live within a one-day drive of where they vacation.

3. Destinations

Up to 5% of tourist evacuees will stay within the county where their vacation accommodations were located or go to a nearby county to use a public shelter. At least half will go elsewhere in Florida to continue their vacation or wait out the storm. Up to half will return home, if they live within a one-day drive.

4. Vehicle Use

The great majority of tourists have a vehicle available to them when on vacation, often their own. Virtually all of the vehicles will be used in evacuating, either to other tourist destinations, home, or airports.

5. Evacuation Timing

Tourists leave at least as early as residents. The same curves used for residents should be used for tourists, unless officials order vacationers to evacuate earlier.

G. Planning Assumptions Tables

Planning assumptions for evacuation behavior form the final product of behavioral analysis and are subsequently used as inputs for the transportation modeling effort. Reasonable and accurate assumptions are an important element of any modeling process. Planning assumptions for the Statewide Regional Evacuation Studies program are derived using professional analysis of statewide survey results with a cross comparison of previous behavioral analyses. A more complete explanation of the methodology used to derive planning assumptions can be found in Volume 2. A set of planning assumptions for each of the counties in the Apalachee Region is listed in the Appendices.

This page intentionally left blank.



Volume 1-2 Technical Data Report Apalachee Region

Appendix III-A Calhoun County Planning Assumptions



This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
IIIA-1	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site-Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIA-1
IIIA-2	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIA-1
IIIA-3	Vehicle Use Rages for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIA-1
IIIA-4	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIA-1
IIIA-5	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIA-1
IIIA-6	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIA-1
IIIA-7	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIA-2

This page intentionally left blank.

Table IIIA-1. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	5	10	20	25	30
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	50	55	65	75	85

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer in each storm threat scenario. Figures assume that evacuation will be recommended for mobile and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated.

Table IIIA-2. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Out-of-County Trip Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	65	65	65	65	65
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	35	35	45	50	50

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence.

Table IIIA-3. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Vehicle Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	75	75	75	75	75
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	70	70	70	70	70

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIA-4. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Public Shelter Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	10	10	10	10	10
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	15	15	15	15	15

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIA-5. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Friend/Relative Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	55	55	55	55	55
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	55	55	55	55	55

Friend/relative use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge at the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIA-6. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Hotel/Motel Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	20	20	20	20	20
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	10	10	10	10	10

Hotel/motel use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIA-7. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Other Refuge Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	10	10	10	10	10
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	15	15	15	15	15

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.



Volume 1-2 Technical Data Report Apalachee Region

Appendix III-B Franklin County Planning Assumptions



This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
IIIB-1	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes	IIIB-1
IIIB-2	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built.....	IIIB-1
IIIB-3	Vehicle Use Rages for Residents in Site Built Homes	IIIB-1
IIIB-4	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIIB-1
IIIB-5	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIIB-2
IIIB-6	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIIB-2
IIIB-7	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes	IIIB-2
IIIB-8	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIB-2
IIIB-9	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIB-3
IIIB-10	Vehicle Use Rages for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes.....	IIIB-3
IIIB-11	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes.....	IIIB-3
IIIB-12	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIB-3
IIIB-13	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIB-4
IIIB-14	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIB-4

This page intentionally left blank.

Table IIIB-1. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	55	65	80	90	95
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	45	55	70	85	90
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	25	65	80	90
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	20	55	75	85
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	35	45	75
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	5	5	10	15	20

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer from each zone in each storm threat scenario. Figures are based on the assumption that officials order evacuation for surge evacuation zones corresponding to storm category, plus all mobile homes and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated. Shaded cells indicate shadow evacuation – evacuation from areas not included in evacuation notices.

Table IIIB-2. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Out-of-County Trip Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	90	90	90	90	90
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	85	85	85	85	85

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIB-3. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Vehicle Use Rate	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	80	80	80	80
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	80	80	80	80
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	80	80	80	80
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	80	80	80	80
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	80	80	80	80
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	85	80	80	80	80

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household from each zone that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIB-4. Public Shelter Use rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Shelter Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	2	2	2	2	2
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	5	5	5	5	5
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	5	5	5	5	5
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	5	5	5	5	5
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	5	5	5	5	5
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	5	5	5	5	5

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIB-5. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes

Friend/Relative Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	50	50	50	50	50
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	50	50	50	50	50
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	50	50	50	50	50
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	50	50	50	50	50
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	50	50	50	50	50
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	50	50	50	50	50

Friend/relative rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIB-6. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes

Hotel/Motel Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	25	25	25	25	25
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	25	25	25	25	25
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	25	25	25	25	25
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	25	25	25	25	25
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	25	25	25	25	25
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	25	25	25	25	25

Hotel/motel rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIB-7. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes

Other Refuge Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site-built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	23	23	23	23	23
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	20	20	20	20	20

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIB-8. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes

Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	70	80	90	100
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	70	80	90	100
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	70	75	80	95
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	75	75	95
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	70	75	95
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	60	65	70	75	90

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer from each zone in each storm threat scenario. Figures are based on the assumption that officials order evacuation for surge evacuation zones corresponding to storm category, plus all mobile homes and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated.

Table IIIB-9. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes

Out-of-County Trip Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	80	80	80	80	80

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIB-10. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes

Vehicle Use Rate	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	80	80	80	80	80

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household from each zone that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIB-11. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes

Shelter Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	8	8	8	8	8

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIB-12. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes

Friend/Relative Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	60	60	60	60
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	60	60	60	60
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	60	60	60	60
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	60	60	60	60
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	60	60	60	60
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	60	60	60	60	60

Friend/relative rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIB-13. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Hotel/Motel Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	20	20	20	20	20

Hotel/motel rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIB-14. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Other Refuge Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	12	12	12	12	12
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	12	12	12	12	12
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	12	12	12	12	12
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	12	12	12	12	12
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	12	12	12	12	12
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	12	12	12	12	12

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.



Volume 1-2 Technical Data Report Apalachee Region

Appendix III-C Gadsden County Planning Assumptions



This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
IIC-1	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site-Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIC-1
IIC-2	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIC-1
IIC-3	Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIC-1
IIC-4	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIC-1
IIC-5	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIC-1
IIC-6	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIC-1
IIC-7	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIC-2

This page intentionally left blank.

Table IIIC-1. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	10	15	20	25	30
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	50	55	70	80	85

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer in each storm threat scenario. Figures assume that evacuation will be recommended for mobile and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated.

Table IIIC-2. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Out-of-County Trip Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	45	45	45	45	45
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	65	65	65	65	65

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence.

Table IIIC-3. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Vehicle Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	90	90	90	90	90
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	80	80	80	80	80

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIC-4. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Public Shelter Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	15	15	15	15	15
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	10	10	10	10	10

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIC-5. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Friend/Relative Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	55	55	55	55	55
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	60	60	60	60	60

Friend/relative use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge at the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIC-6. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Hotel/Motel Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	15	15	15	15	15
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	10	10	10	10	10

Hotel/motel use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIC-7. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Other Refuge Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	10	10	10	10	10
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	15	15	15	15	15

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.



Volume 1-2 Technical Data Report Apalachee Region

Appendix III-D Gulf County Planning Assumptions



This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
IIID-1	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site-Built Homes	IIID-1
IIID-2	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built.....	IIID-1
IIID-3	Vehicle Use Rages for Residents in Site Built Homes	IIID-1
IIID-4	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIID-1
IIID-5	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIID-2
IIID-6	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIID-2
IIID-7	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes	IIID-2
IIID-8	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIID-2
IIID-9	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIID-3
IIID-10	Vehicle Use Rages for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes.....	IIID-3
IIID-11	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes.....	IIID-3
IIID-12	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIID-3
IIID-13	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIID-4
IIID-14	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIID-4

This page intentionally left blank.

Table IIID-1. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	45	55	80	85	95
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	35	45	75	80	90
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	25	30	70	80	90
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	15	40	65	85
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	5	15	15	50	75
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	5	5	15	25	30

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer from each zone in each storm threat scenario. Figures are based on the assumption that officials order evacuation for surge evacuation zones corresponding to storm category, plus all mobile homes and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated. Shaded cells indicate shadow evacuation – evacuation from areas not included in evacuation notices.

Table IIID-2. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Out-of-County Trips	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	80	80	80	80	80

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIID-3. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Vehicle Use Rate	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site-built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	70	70	70	70	70

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household from each zone that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIID-4. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Public Shelter Use	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	5	5	5	5	5
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	5	5	5	5	5
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	5	5	5	5	5
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	8	8	8	8	8

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIID-5. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes

Friend/Relative Use	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	55	55	55	55	55
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	55	55	55	55	55
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	55	55	55	55	55
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	55	55	55	55	55
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	55	55	55	55	55
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	55	55	55	55	55

Friend/relative rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIID-6. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes

Hotel/Motel Use	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	25	25	25	25	25
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	25	25	25	25	25
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	25	25	25	25	25
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	25	25	25	25	25
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	25	25	25	25	25
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	25	25	25	25	25

Hotel/motel rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIID-7. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes

Other Refuge Use	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	12	12	12	12	12
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	12	12	12	12	12
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	12	12	12	12	12

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIID-8. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes

Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	75	85	90	95
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	70	85	90	95
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	70	85	90	95
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	70	80	85	90
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	70	80	85	90
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	60	65	75	80	85

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer from each zone in each storm threat scenario. Figures are based on the assumption that officials order evacuation for surge evacuation zones corresponding to storm category, plus all mobile homes and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated.

Table IIID-9. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured homes					
Out-of-County Trips	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	80	80	80	80	80

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence.

Table IIID-10. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Vehicle Use Rate	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	75	75	75	75	75

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household from each zone that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIID-11. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Public Shelter Use	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	8	8	8	8	8
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	8	8	8	8	8

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIID-12. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Friend/Relative Use	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	75	75	75	75	75

Friend/relative rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIID-13. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes

Hotel/Motel Use	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	10	10	10	10	10

Hotel/motel rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIID-14. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes

Other Refuge Use	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	7	7	7	7	7
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	7	7	7	7	7
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	7	7	7	7	7
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	7	7	7	7	7
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	7	7	7	7	7
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	7	7	7	7	7

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.



Volume 1-2 Technical Data Report Apalachee Region

Appendix III-E Jackson County Planning Assumptions



This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
IIIE-1	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIE-1
IIIE-2	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIE-1
IIIE-3	Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIE-1
IIIE-4	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIE-1
IIIE-5	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIE-1
IIIE-6	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIE-1
IIIE-7	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIE-2

This page intentionally left blank.

Table IIIE-1. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	5	10	20	25	30
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	50	55	70	80	85

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer in each storm threat scenario. Figures assume that evacuation will be recommended for mobile and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated.

Table IIIE-2. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Out-of-County Trip Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	65	65	65	70	70
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	40	40	40	50	50

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence.

Table IIIE-3. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Vehicle Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	70	70	70	70	70
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	70	70	70	70	70

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIE-4. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Public Shelter Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	10	10	10	10	10
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	5	5	5	5	5

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIE-5. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Friend/Relative Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	55	55	55	55	55
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	60	60	60	60	60

Friend/relative use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge at the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIE-6. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Hotel/Motel Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	15	15	15	15	15
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	15	15	15	15	15

Hotel/motel use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIE-7. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Other Refuge Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	15	15	15	15	15
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	20	20	20	20	20

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.



Volume 1-2 Technical Data Report Apalachee Region

Appendix III-F Jefferson County Planning Assumptions



This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
IIIF-1	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site-Built Homes	IIIF-1
IIIF-2	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built.....	IIIF-1
IIIF-3	Vehicle Use Rages for Residents in Site Built Homes	IIIF-1
IIIF-4	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIIF-1
IIIF-5	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIIF-2
IIIF-6	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIIF-2
IIIF-7	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes	IIIF-2
IIIF-8	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIF-2
IIIF-9	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIF-3
IIIF-10	Vehicle Use Rages for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes.....	IIIF-3
IIIF-11	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes.....	IIIF-3
IIIF-12	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIF-3
IIIF-13	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIF-4
IIIF-14	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIF-4

This page intentionally left blank.

Table III F-1. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	50	60	75	90	95
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	40	40	50	80	85
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	25	45	75	80
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	20	30	70	80
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	20	45	75
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	5	10	15	20	25

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer from each zone in each storm threat scenario. Figures are based on the assumption that officials order evacuation for surge evacuation zones corresponding to storm category, plus all mobile homes and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated. Shaded cells indicate shadow evacuation – evacuation from areas not included in evacuation notices.

Table III F-2. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Out-of-County Trip Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	50	50	55	60	60
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	50	50	55	60	60
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	50	50	55	60	60
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	50	50	55	60	60
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	50	50	55	60	60
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	70	70	75	75	75

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence in each storm threat scenario.

Table III F-3. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Vehicle Use Rate	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	70	70	70	70	70

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household from each zone that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table III F-4. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Public Shelter Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	10	10	10	10	10

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III F-5. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes

Friend/Relative Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	65	65	65	65	65

Friend/relative rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III F-6. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes

Hotel/Motel Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	10	10	10	10	10

Hotel/motel rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III F-7. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes

Other Refuge Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	15	15	15	15	15

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III F-8. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes

Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	70	85	95
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	70	85	95
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	70	85	95
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	70	85	95
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	70	85	95
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	60	65	70	85	95

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer from each zone in each storm threat scenario. Figures are based on the assumption that officials order evacuation for surge evacuation zones corresponding to storm category, plus all mobile homes and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated.

Table III F-9. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Out-of-County Trip Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	70	70	70	70	70

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence in each storm threat scenario.

Table III F-10. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Vehicle Use Rate	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	85	85	85	85	85
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	75	75	75	75	75

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household from each zone that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table III F-11. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Public Shelter Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	15	15	15	15	15

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III F-12. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Friend/Relative Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	65	65	65	65	65

Friend/relative rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III F13. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Hotel/Motel Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	10	10	10	10	10

Hotel/motel rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III F-14. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Other Refuge Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	10	10	10	10	10

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.



Volume 1-2 Technical Data Report Apalachee Region

Appendix III-G Leon County Planning Assumptions



This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
IIIG-1	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIG-1
IIIG-2	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIG-1
IIIG-3	Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIG-1
IIIG-4	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIG-1
IIIG-5	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIG-1
IIIG-6	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIG-1
IIIG-7	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIG-2

This page intentionally left blank.

Table III G-1. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	5	10	20	25	30
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	50	55	70	80	85

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer in each storm threat scenario. Figures assume that evacuation will be recommended for mobile and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated.

Table III G-2. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Out-of-County Trip Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	70	70	70	70	75
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	50	50	50	60	60

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence.

Table III G-3. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Vehicle Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	75	75	75	75	75
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	75	75	75	75	75

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table III G-4. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Public Shelter Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	5	5	5	5	5
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	10	10	10	10	10

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III G-5. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Friend/Relative Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	60	60	60	60	60
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	65	65	65	65	65

Friend/relative use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge at the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III G-6. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Hotel/Motel Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	20	20	20	20	20
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	10	10	10	10	10

Hotel/motel use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III G-7. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Other Refuge Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	15	15	15	15	15
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	15	15	15	15	15

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.



Volume 1-2 Technical Data Report Apalachee Region

Appendix III-H Liberty County Planning Assumptions



This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
IIIH-1	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIH-1
IIIH-2	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIH-1
IIIH-3	Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIH-1
IIIH-4	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIH-1
IIIH-5	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIH-1
IIIH-6	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIH-1
IIIH-7	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIIH-2

This page intentionally left blank.

Table IIIH-1. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	5	10	20	25	30
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	50	55	70	80	85

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer in each storm threat scenario. Figures assume that evacuation will be recommended for mobile and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated.

Table IIIH-2. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Out-of-County Trip Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	65	65	65	70	70
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	50	50	50	60	60

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence.

Table IIIH-3. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Vehicle Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	75	75	75	75	75
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	75	75	75	75	75

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIH-4. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Public Shelter Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	10	10	10	10	10
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	15	15	15	15	15

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIH-5. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Friend/Relative Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	55	55	55	55	55
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	55	55	55	55	55

Friend/relative use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge at the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIH-6. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Hotel/Motel Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	15	15	15	15	15
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	10	10	10	10	10

Hotel/motel use rate indicates the percent of evacuees who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table IIIH-7. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built or Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Other Refuge Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Site Built Homes	15	15	15	15	15
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	15	15	15	15	15

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.



Volume 1-2 Technical Data Report Apalachee Region

Appendix III-I Wakulla County Planning Assumptions



This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
IIII-1	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site-Built Homes	IIII-1
IIII-2	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built.....	IIII-1
IIII-3	Vehicle Use Rages for Residents in Site Built Homes	IIII-1
IIII-4	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIII-1
IIII-5	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIII-2
IIII-6	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes.....	IIII-2
IIII-7	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes	IIII-2
IIII-8	Evacuation Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIII-2
IIII-9	Out of County Trip Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIII-3
IIII-10	Vehicle Use Rages for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes.....	IIII-3
IIII-11	Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes.....	IIII-3
IIII-12	Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIII-3
IIII-13	Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIII-4
IIII-14	Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes	IIII-4

This page intentionally left blank.

Table III-1. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	55	60	75	90	95
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	45	50	70	85	90
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	25	65	80	90
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	20	50	75	85
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	30	45	75
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	5	5	10	15	20

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer from each zone in each storm threat scenario. Figures are based on the assumption that officials order evacuation for surge evacuation zones corresponding to storm category, plus all mobile homes and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated. Shaded cells indicate shadow evacuation – evacuation from areas not included in evacuation notices.

Table III-2. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Out-of-County Trip Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	80	80	80	80	80
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	80	80	80	80	80

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence in each threat scenario.

Table III-3. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Vehicle Use Rate	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	75	75	75	75	75

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household from each zone that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table III-4. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Public Shelter Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	5	5	5	5	5
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	10	10	10	10	10

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III-5. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Friend/Relative Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	65	65	65	65	65

Friend/relative rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III-6. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Hotel/Motel Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	15	15	15	15	15

Hotel/motel rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III-7. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Site Built Homes					
Other Refuge Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Site Built Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	15	15	15	15	15
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	15	15	15	15	15

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III-8. Evacuation Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured homes					
Evacuation Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	70	85	95
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	70	85	95
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	70	85	95
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	70	85	95
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	60	65	70	85	95
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	60	65	70	85	95

Evacuation rate indicates the percent of residents who will leave their homes to go someplace safer from each zone in each storm threat scenario. Figures are based on the assumption that officials order evacuation for surge evacuation zones corresponding to storm category, plus all mobile homes and manufactured homes. Figures also assume that the actual storm track passes very close to the area being evacuated.

Table III-9. Out-of-County Trip Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Out-of-County Trip Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	75	75	75	75	75
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	70	70	70	70	70

Out-of-county trip rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge outside their own county of residence in each storm threat scenario.

Table III-10. Vehicle Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Vehicle Use Rate	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	70	70	70	70	70
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	70	70	70	70	70

Vehicle use rate indicates of percentage of vehicles available to the evacuating household from each zone that will be used in evacuation in each storm threat scenario.

Table III-11. Public Shelter Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Public Shelter Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	5	5	5	5	5
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	10	10	10	10	10

Public shelter use rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in public shelters, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III-12. Friend/Relative Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Friend/Relative Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	65	65	65	65	65
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	65	65	65	65	65

Friend/relative rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in the homes of friends and relatives, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III-13. Hotel/Motel Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Hotel/Motel Use Rates	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	10	10	10	10	10
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	10	10	10	10	10

Hotel/motel rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in hotels and motels, in each storm threat scenario.

Table III-14. Other Refuge Use Rates for Residents in Mobile and Manufactured Homes					
Other Refuge Use Rate	Storm Threat Scenario				
Mobile and Manufactured Homes	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Cat 1 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Cat 2 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Cat 3 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Cat 4 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Cat 5 Surge Evacuation Zone	20	20	20	20	20
Inland of Surge Evacuation Zones	20	20	20	20	20

Other refuge rate indicates the percent of evacuees from each zone who will seek refuge in locations such as churches, second homes, and workplaces, in each storm threat scenario.